Hillsborough Permit Parking Scheme 2010

Zone 2 2010

Please take a few minutes to give us your views

Q1	Questionnaire No 1) 2A	126 (86.9%)
	2) 2B	18 (12.4%)
	,	(= : : , ;
Q2	What road do you live on Barker's Place	0 (0.0%)
	Bickerton Road	21 (14.5%)
	Catchbar Lane	1 (0.7%)
	Eskdale Close	3 (2.1%)
	Eskdale Road	19 (13.1%)
	Farndale Road	5 (3.4%)
	Fielding Road	17 (11.7%)
	Leake Road	6 (4.1%)
	Leppings Lane	6 (4.1%)
	Parkside Road	10 (6.9%)
	Penistone Road	3 (2.1%)
	Pensistone Road North	5 (3.4%)
	Shenstone Road	21 (14.5%)
	Vere Road	21 (14.5%)
	Winster Road	7 (4.8%)
Q3	How far do you support the new proposals for your area	
	a) Fully support	41 (28.3%)
	b) Partly support	35 (24.1%)
	c) Don't support	63 (43.4%)
	d) Not sure	6 (4.1%)
Q4	Comments	
	1. Shouldn't have to pay to park (and space not guaranteed)	69 (47.6%)
	2. Parking always difficult - should extend throughout year	5 (3.4%)
	3. Needs to be 24/7 - or 24/6 exc Sundays	4 (2.8%)
	4. May create more problems	7 (4.8%)
	5. Re-introduce system police/SWFC used - badge on windscreen - free	4 (2.8%)
	6. Extend 6am-6pm	0 (0.0%)
	Should be no charge to residents and a number of free visitor permits before paying for subsequent ones	4 (2.8%)
	8. Happy for scheme on matchdays only - not all times	14 (9.7%)
	9. SWFC should provide parking for fans (reasonable price)	1 (0.7%)
	10. SWFC partly responsible and should pay towards/fully pay cost of permits	11 (7.6%)
	11. Need to restrict permits per household - some have 2-3 cars and reserve spaces/multi users`	3 (2.1%)
	12. Need to enforce	5 (3.4%)
	13. Should be for cars & small vans not work vans, minibuses & camper vans	1 (0.7%)
	14. Wording on proposal misleading re certain restrictions on match days	1 (0.7%)
	15. Stop police, stewards & SWFC staff parking on our road	2 (1.4%)
	16. You do not mention evening matches - major problems	2 (1.4%)
	17. Selfish parking causes problems/not enough space for all is bays are put in	2 (1.4%)

18. Ist car should be free	3 (2.1%)
19. If you guarantee space on my road I would support scheme/zone the streets to postcodes	3 (2.1%)
20. Most residents work round match days and are happy with current arrangements	8 (5.5%)
21. People may misuse visitor permits	5 (3.4%)
22. Expensive scheme for a few hours every 2 wks. How does it compare with other pp schemes?	4 (2.8%)
23. Support /support as long as price does not go up	4 (2.8%)
24. Need carers and cannot afford to pay for pp	2 (1.4%)
25. Difficult for residents on Penistone Rd (North), you want to change arrangements	2 (1.4%)
26. Is there an alternative place to park if space are all gone	1 (0.7%)
27. Fans also park on 'away' days - get coaches	1 (0.7%)
28. Also problems with shoppers, commuters, SW facilities etc.	1 (0.7%)
29. Not enough space for all residents - isn't it illegal to take money for something you can't provide	2 (1.4%)
30. We have not been consulted - we have a drive, do we need permits?	1 (0.7%)
31. Without permits how do we prove to rude stewards that we live on road	1 (0.7%)
32. Get SWFC and/or Council to building car park on waste land	2 (1.4%)
33. You will do what you want anyway	2 (1.4%)
34. Worried that signage will look ugly and be a reminder of match day issues	1 (0.7%)
35. Good idea	1 (0.7%)
36. Single yellow nr 40 Winster too long	1 (0.7%)
37. 2nd permit too expensive	2 (1.4%)
38. Cost not fair for 23 home matches against 365 days elsewhere	2 (1.4%)
,	, , , , ,